

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231

ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. SERIAL NUMBER FILING DATE FIRST NAMED APPLICANT В 49617-P020US COWLESON 08/858,586 05/19/97

LMC1/0822

DAVID H. TANNENBAUM ' FULBRIGHT & JAWORSKI 2200 ROSS AVENUE SUITE 2800 DALLAS TX 75201

E	XAMINER				
HARVEY, M					
ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER				
2747	19				
DATE MAILED:	08/22/00				

Below is a communication from the EXAMINER in charge of this application

## COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

	_			ADVISORY	ACTION				
$\lambda$	TH	E PERIOD F	OR RESPONSE:						
/ a)	7	is extended	to run	or continues to run_	from the d	ate of the final rejection			
b)	is extended to run or continues to run from the date of the final rejection  expires three months from the date of the final rejection or as of the mailing date of this Advisory Action, whichever is later. In no event however, will the statutory period for the response expire later than six months from the date of the final rejection.								
		Any extension of time must be obtained by filing a petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a), the proposed response and the appropriate fee The date on which the response, the petition, and the fee have been filed is the date of the response and also the date for the purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. Any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.17 will be calculated from the date of the originally set shortened statutory period for response or as set forth in b) above.							
	Appellant's Brief is due in accordance with 37 CFR 1.192(a).								
X	Ap	plicant's resp place the app	onse to the final reje dication in condition	ection, filed	has been considered with the	he following effect, but it is not deemed			
1.	×	The propose	d amendments to the	e daim and /or specification v	vill not be entered and the fina	I rejection stands because:			
a. There is no convincing showing under 37 CFR 1.116(b) why the proposed amendment is necessary and was not earlier presented.									
	b. They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search. (See Note).								
		c. 🔲 They	raise the issue of ne	w matter. (See Note).					
		d. They appea	are not deemed to al.	place the application in better	form for appeal by materially r	reducing or simplifying the issues for			
		e. They	present additional c	laims without cancelling a con	responding number of finally re	ejected claims.			
		/\	,	01					
		NOIE: 1	in clairs	Ap- 4 ( 13:	ses hew is	5ve			
	_				<del></del>				
2.	Ш	the non-allow	osed or amended di wable claims.	aims wou	ld be allowed if submitted in a	separately filed amendment cancelling			
3.	3. Upon the filing an appeal, the proposed amendment \( \square\) will be entered \( \square\) will not be entered and the status of the claims will be as follows:								
		Claims allow	red:		-				
		•	cted to:		<del></del>				
		Claims rejec	wever:		<del>-</del>				
		_	•	ercome the following rejection	n(s):	•			
			-						
4.		The affidavit	, exhibit or request (	for reconsideration has been o	considered but does not overco	ome the rejection because			
5. [		The affidavit presented.	or exhibit will not be	considered because applicar	nt has not shown good and suff	ficent reasons why it was not earlier			
ים	Γhe	proposed dra	wing correction	has has not been app	roved by the examiner.	mais 1 Am			
	Oth	er							
						MINSUN OH HARVEY			